

Performance Trials for Developing  
High-Yielding and Early  
Maturing Forage Cultivars

“

”

1998. 12. 5.

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

I.

II.

1.

가

, 가

.  
가가 가

,

,

가

.

2.

가

가

2

,

.

4

7, 14, 17 9

.

가가

가 1~3  
가

### III.

가가  
Potomac Summer Green,  
Tetraflorum FL80, Kodi ak Kool grazer  
Cayuse Swan 가  
4  
33 3 3  
( )

1. : Potomac Summer Green ,  
가 가

2. : Tetraflorum FL80  
, 가 가

3. : Kodi ak Kool grazer , ,  
가 가 .

4. : Cayuse Swan ,  
가 가 .

#### IV.

##### 1.

가. 1996 1997 1997  
4 가가 .

1) (1 )

Marshall Italian ryegrass 9

Tetraflorum 31%가 . Marshall Italian regress

2) (3 )

Cashel, Pallinup Yilgarn Cayuse가 10

가 10 12, 4 6

가 . Cayuse(8, 615kg/ha)

29, 24 22%가

1996 1998 1998 12  
8

1) (1 )  
Potomac Summer Green 6  
가 1996 98  
가 Amba ha

12, 131kg

Potomac(12, 802kg/ha) Summer Green(12, 242kg/ha)  
5% 1%가 . Amba  
(9 ) 가 (8 ) . 91%  
Potomac(91%) Amba  
Potomac Summer Green

2) (3 )  
9 가 1996 1998  
Grazer, TAM-90 Typhoon  
Kodi ak(8, 615kg/ha) 32%(2, 710kg/ha), 15%(1, 287kg/ha)  
10%(901kg/ha)가 . Grazer 가  
4 14 가 TAM-90  
Typhoon 가 . 3

(85 93%), (9 )  
 (7 8 ) .

3) (2 )

10 1996 1998 3 ( )  
 가 . Oklon Bates  
 ha 13,599kg 14,074kg  
 . Kodi ak ha 9,244kg  
 . 2 가 4  
 8 (92 94%) (9 )  
 (8 ) . 1998  
 가 .

4) (2 )

(Cayuse) (Swan) 17  
 가 Irwin Dane  
 8 9(9= ) .  
 Cayuse(4,309kg/ha)  
 31%(5,633kg/ha) 31%(5,649kg/ha) ,  
 Swan 6% 6% .  
 Irwin Dane

2.

가.

가

.

.

가

가

.

.

가

.

# SUMMARY

## I. Title

Performance Trials for Developing High-Yielding and Early Maturing Forage Cultivars.

## II. Objectives and Importance

### 1. Objectives

There are many restrictions to import the government recommended forage cultivar seeds by livestock farmers mainly because of the limited quantity and high cost of the seeds. Therefore, the objectives of this research were to select many numbers of high-yielding government recommended orchardgrass, Italian ryegrass, forage rye and forage oat cultivars widely grown by the livestock farmers at present, and to recommend the selected high-yielding forage cultivars as the government recommended cultivars to the farmers.

### 2. Importance

The main pasture species used in grassland establishment in

Korea are orchardgrass and others, however, under double cropping forage production systems, Italian ryegrass, forage rye, and forage oats are very important sources of forages at present. The numbers of the government recommended forage cultivars of the above forage species are 7, 14, 17 and 9, respectively.

As we mentioned just before, there are many numbers of the government recommended forage cultivars, but only very limited cultivars are available for the farmers due to the limited quantity and unattractive cost of the seeds. Therefore, the utmost important thing is to increase the numbers of high-yielding government recommended forage cultivars by the performance trials as this kind of plant introduction and evaluation.

### III. Research Scope

The final objectives of this research are to select more high-yielding forage cultivars than the standard cultivars, such as Potomac and Summer Green cultivars of orchardgrass, Tetraflorum and FL80 cultivars of Italian ryegrass, Kodiak and Kool grazer cultivars of forage rye, and Cayuse and Swan cultivars of forage oats which are widely grown by Korean livestock farmers.

For the above objectives, a total number of 33 cultivars were introduced from abroad, and four different performance trials

were conducted at three Provinces for three years to compare with the standard cultivar or the government recommended cultivar of each forage species with special intention to select the following traits:

1. Orchardgrass : To select high-yielding, disease-resistant and high quality than the standard cultivar, such as Potomac(or Summer Green).
2. Italian ryegrass : To select high-yielding, cold tolerant and high quality forage Cultivars than the standard cultivar, such as Tetraflorum (or FL80).
3. Forage Rye : To select early maturing, high-yielding, cold tolerant and high quality than the standard cultivar, such as Kodiak (or Kool grazer).
4. Forage oats : To select early maturing, high-yielding and high quality forage cultivars than the standard cultivar, such as Cayuse(or Swan).

## IV. Results and Applications

### 1. Results

1) As a result of forage performance tests during the 1996-1997, four promising cultivars were already recommended as the government recommended cultivars in 1997 and grown by the livestock farmers at present.

#### (1) Italian ryegrass (One cultivar)

Marshall Italian ryegrass was winter hardy (rating: 9), higher disease resistant and moderately lodging tolerant, and 31% more dry matter yield than the standard cultivar, such as Tetraflorum. Marshall Italian ryegrass was also better forage quality, but later in maturity.

#### (2) Forage oats (Three cultivars)

Cashel, Pallinup and Yilgarn were first headed on 12, 4 and 6 of October, respectively, and evaluated as early maturing cultivars, but the standard cultivar Cayuse was never headed in October. Cashel, Pallinup and Yilgarn showed 29, 24 and 22% more dry matter yield than the standard cultivar Cayuse, respectively, and also these three cultivars were highly resistant to lodging and good forage quality.

2) As a result of forage performance tests during the 1996-1998, eight promising cultivars should be recommended as the government recommended forage cultivars at the beginning of December, 1998.

(1) Orchardgrass (One cultivar)

Six orchardgrass cultivars including both the standard cultivar Potomac and the recommended cultivar Summer Green were evaluated for forage performance during the 1996-98 growing season. Among the introduced cultivars tested, the highest yield cultivar Amba produced an average of 12,131kg/ha. This was 5 and 1% less than the standard cultivar Potomac(12,802kg/ha) and the recommended cultivar Summer Green(12,242kg/ha), respectively. Amba orchardgrass exhibited the highest seedling vigor(rating:9), higher disease resistance(rating:8), and the same as the standard cultivar Potomac in winter survival(91%) and forage quality. Therefore, we concluded that the forage performance of Amba was similar to that of Potomac and Summer Green.

(2) Italian ryegrass (Three cultivars)

Nine new cultivars of Italian ryegrass were evaluated for forage yield during the 1996-1998 growing season. Grazer, TAM 90 and Typhoon each outyielded the standard cultivar Kodiak(8,615kg/ha) by over 32(2,710kg/ha), 15(1,287kg/ha) and

10%(901kg/ha), respectively. Grazer was among the highest forage yield with early in maturity headed on 14 April, while TAM-90 and Typhoon were late maturing cultivars. These three cultivars exhibited superior cold tolerance, highly disease and lodging resistance, and also very good nutritional quality.

(3) Forage rye (Two cultivars)

Ten forage rye cultivars were evaluated for forage performance during the 1996-1998 growing season at three locations. Oklon and Bates had high forage yield potential, 13,599 and 14,074kg/ha, respectively, while the standard cultivar Kodiak was lower in dry matter yield of 9,244kg/ha. These two new cultivars were earlier maturing both headed on 8 April, the least winter injury, highly disease and lodging resistance, and showed also good forage quality.

(4) Forage oats (Two cultivars)

Seventeen oat cultivars including both the standard cultivar(Cayuse) and recommended cultivar(Swan) were evaluated for forage performance during the 1996-1998 growing season. Among the oat cultivars tested, an early maturing Irwin and medium maturing Dane showed higher lodging tolerance of rating 8 and 9, respectively. Irwin and Dane gave higher forage yields of 31%(5,633kg/ha) and 31%(5,649kg/ha) than the standard cultivar Cayuse(4,309kg/ha), respectively, and also those cultivars gave

higher forage yields of 6 and 6% compared with the recommended cultivar Swan(5,311kg/ha). The results indicated that Irwin and Dane should be recommended as the government recommended oat cultivars.

## 2. Recommendation for Applications

1) Cultivars selected as high-yielding forage should be recommended to the government as the government recommended forage cultivars. This make easy to import the seeds by livestock farmers from abroad.

2) Information on the newly selected government recommended cultivars should be distributed to the livestock farmers through technical bulletins by the government agricultural extension organization.

3) The research organization selected the new government recommended cultivars should have technical seminars or meetings with the livestock farmers and lead the farmers to make a contact with seed sales agents in Korea.

# Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction .....	19
Section 1. Research needed .....	20
1. Technical aspect .....	20
2. Economic and industrial aspects .....	21
3. Social and cultural aspects .....	22
Section 2. Present situation and problems related to technology at home and abroad .....	22
Chapter 2. Selecting high-yielding, disease resistant and high quality Orchardgrass cultivars .....	24
Section 1. Introduction .....	24
Section 2. Materials and methods .....	24
Section 3. Results .....	25
1. 1996 yr. ....	25
2. 1997 yr. ....	30
3. 1998 yr. ....	34
4. 3yr. average results .....	39
5. Summary .....	41
Chapter 3. Selecting high-yielding, winter hardy and high quality Italian ryegrass cultivars .....	43
Section 1. Introduction .....	43
Section 2. Materials and methods .....	43
Section 3. Results .....	44
1. 1996 - 1997 yr. ....	44
2. 1997 - 1998 yr. ....	50
3. 3yr. average results .....	55

4. Summary .....	57
Chapter 4. Selecting early maturing, high-yielding, winter hardy and high quality forage rye cultivars .....	58
Section 1. Introduction .....	58
Section 2. Materials and Methods .....	58
Section 3. Results .....	60
1. 1996 1997 yr. ....	60
2. 1997 1998 yr. ....	65
3. 3yr. average results .....	70
4. Summary .....	71
Chapter 5. Selecting early maturing, high-yielding and high quality forage oat cultivars .....	73
Section 1. Introduction .....	73
Section 2. Materials and methods .....	73
Section 3. Results .....	74
1. 1996 yr. ....	74
2. 1997 yr. ....	79
3. 1998 yr. ....	85
4. 2 yr. average results (1996 1997) .....	90
5. 2 yr. average results (1997 1998) .....	92
6. Summary .....	94
Chapter 6. References .....	97
Appendixes .....	99

1	.....	19
1	.....	20
1.	.....	20
2.	.....	21
3.	.....	22
2	.....	22
2	(Orchardgrass) .....	24
1	.....	24
2	.....	24
3	.....	25
1. 1996	.....	25
2. 1997	.....	30
3. 1998	.....	34
4. 3	3 .....	39
5.	.....	41
3	(Italian ryegrass) .....	43
1	.....	43
2	.....	43
3	.....	44
1. 1996 1997	.....	44
2. 1997 1998	.....	50
3. 3	3 .....	55
4.	.....	57
4	(Winter rye) .....	58

1	.....	58
2	.....	58
3	.....	60
1. 1996 1997	.....	60
2. 1997 1998	.....	65
3. 3 3	.....	70
4.	.....	71
5	(Oats) .....	73
1	.....	73
2	.....	73
3	.....	74
1. 1996	.....	74
2. 1997	.....	79
3. 1998	.....	85
4. 3 2 (1996 1997)	.....	90
5. 3 2 (1997 1998)	.....	92
6.	.....	94
6	.....	97
(Appendixes)	.....	99

1

가 가

가 . 가

, ( × ), ,

,

33% 가 .

가 (orchardgrass)

80

. 6 가

가

35 Potomac orchardgrass가

. Potomac orchardgrass

3 Potomac orchardgrass

가 가

.

, 가

Tetraflorum

FL80

,

Kodi ak

2

Kool grazer

Cayuse

가

Swan

가

1

1.

가가

가

가 2

가

Potomac

(public cultivar)

가

가 가

14

Tetraflorum

FL80

가

17

Kodi ak

2

Kool grazer

9

Cayuse

2

가

Swan

(Plant introduction)

가

2

(道)

2 3

가

가

3

( )

3

2.

가

가가

가가

가가

가가

가가

3.

Potomac

가가

4 가 ,

가 .

Tetraflorum

FL80

가

. 가 2

Kodi ak

Kool grazer

가 ,

Cayuse

Swan

가

가 .

2

1 ( 2 ), 3 ( , , )  
) 2 ( , )

가 .

가

가 .

, , 가  
66

102, 211, 214

가



ha 10,000kg  
 ha 80, 150, 70kg  
 120kg 80kg  
 200kg, 150kg 150kg . 1996 3 ,  
 1997 4 , 1998 3

2 ,

가 1

300 500g

65

148

ADF, NDF Van Soest (1980)

RFV

(RFV=%DDM×%DM ÷ 1.29)

1996

8 1996 9 20

3

1. 1996

1996

1

Potomac Anba가 8 가

가 Dawn 6

7 . 8 9

가 가 . ha

1 3  
 ha 5,802 8,153kg . Potomac  
 Summer  
 Green 가 Anba Summer  
 Green .

1. Orchardgrass ,

1996.

		1	2	3			
		kg/ha					%
Potomac*	8	8	4,542	2,012	1,599	8,153	100
Okay	7	9	3,820	1,543	1,140	6,503	80
Anba	8	8	4,228	1,607	1,320	7,155	88
Dawn	6	9	3,042	1,553	1,207	5,802	71
S. G. **	7	8	3,614	1,956	1,690	7,260	89
Kara	7	8	3,079	1,485	1,281	5,845	72
	7	8	3,721	1,693	1,373	6,787	83
LSD(0.05)						1,384	

, : 9 = ( ), S. G. = Summer Green.

\*: , \*\*: .

2 Dawn 7 가

Dawn 9 가 Potomac( ), Okay

Anba 가 .

Potomac Dawn 15%

Potomac 가  
가 .

2. Orchardgrass ,  
1996.

		1	2	3				
		kg/ha						%
Potomac*	9	7	5,590	1,502	4,417	11,509		100
Okay	9	7	5,334	1,662	4,355	11,351		99
Anba	9	7	5,118	1,432	4,533	11,083		96
Dawn	7	9	4,014	1,269	4,544	9,827		85
S. G. **	9	8	4,842	1,691	5,100	11,633		101
Kara	8	8	5,388	1,222	4,639	11,249		98
	9	8	5,048	1,463	4,596	11,109		97
LSD(0.05)								817

, : 9 = ( ), S. G. = Summer Green.  
\*: , \*\*: .

3 . Dawn 7  
가 8 가 .  
Anba Kara 7  
8 .  
3 .  
2 3  
3

3. Orchardgrass

1996.

		1	2	3				
		kg/ha						
Potomac*	8	8	2,523	-	-	2,523	100	
Okay	8	8	1,981	-	-	1,981	79	
Anba	8	7	2,074	-	-	2,074	82	
Dawn	7	8	1,348	-	-	1,348	53	
S. G. **	8	8	2,536	-	-	2,536	101	
Kara	8	7	1,954	-	-	1,954	78	
		8	8	2,069	-	-	2,069	82
LSD(0.05)					NS			

, : 9 = ( ), NS = . S. G. = Summer Green.  
 \*: , \*\*: .

4 . ,  
 Dawn 7 8 9  
 , 8 9  
 가 . 2  
 Dawn Potoma 20%  
 Kara 13% 4 9%  
 가 .

4. 2 Orchardgrass  
1996.

			kg/ha		%	
Potomac*	9	8	8,153	11,509	9,831	100
Okay	8	8	6,503	11,351	8,927	91
Anba	9	8	7,155	11,083	9,119	93
Dawn	7	9	5,802	9,827	7,814	80
S. G. **	8	8	7,260	11,633	9,447	96
Kara	8	8	5,845	11,249	8,544	87
LSD(0.05)	8	8	6,787	11,109	8,948	91
			1,384	817		

( )  
: 9 = ( ), S. G. = Summer Green.  
\*: , \*\*: .

5. 3 1 Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1996.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Potomac*	37.5	65.9	90	4
Okay	38.7	66.4	91	4
Anba	37.9	66.3	91	4
Dawn	38.4	65.3	96	4
S. G. **	37.1	66.6	93	4
Kara	38.8	67.2	88	4
	38.05	66.3	92	4

S. G. = Summer Green, \*: , \*\*: .

3 1

가 ( 5) ADF 37.1 38.8%

가 NDF ADF

RFV 88 96 AFGC

4

가 가 가

2. 1997

1997 6

8

Kara 58%

6. Orchardgrass

1997.

			1	2	3	4		
		%	kg/ha				%	
Potomac*	8	75	6,585	6,976	4,137	3,035	20,733	100
Okay	8	88	5,286	7,134	4,075	3,324	19,819	96
Anba	8	78	5,545	6,951	4,081	2,969	20,012	97
Dawn	8	77	4,426	6,384	3,818	3,167	17,795	86
S. G. **	8	78	5,428	7,417	4,350	3,356	20,085	97
Kara	8	58	2,258	6,050	3,056	2,621	13,985	67
	8	76	4,921	6,819	3,920	3,079	18,738	
LSD(0.05)							3,056	

: 9 = , 1 = , S. G. = Summer Green.

\*: , \*\*: .

Potomac 75%

가 .  
 Kara Potomac  
 가 .  
 7 . , 8 9 가  
 가 가  
 가 .  
 Anba Dawn Potomac  
 4 3%가 .  
 7. Orchardgrass ,  
 1997.

		1 2 3 4						
		kg/ha						
		%	kg/ha				%	
Potomac*	9	100	6,997	8,011	792	677	16,474	100
Okay	8	100	4,689	9,479	1,798	698	16,064	98
Anba	8	100	6,111	9,052	1,615	427	17,205	104
Dawn	8	100	5,378	9,667	1,209	729	16,983	103
S. G. **	8	100	6,100	7,990	729	854	15,736	96
Kara	9	100	4,100	8,990	1,979	614	15,683	95
	8	100	5,562	8,865	3,920	667	16,358	
<b>LSD(0.05)</b>							<b>NS</b>	

: 9 = ( ), NS = . S. G. = Summer Green  
 \*: , \*\*: .

( 8) Kara  
 5 7 Okay Anba  
 가 . 96 98%  
 가 .

( 8)  
 가 Okay Potomac 15%  
 . Kara 6% Anba  
 100 .

8. Orchardgrass , 1997.

				1 2 3 4					
		%	kg/ha				%		
Potomac*	6	98	5,039	3,721	3,208	3,299	15,267	100	
Okay	5	97	3,673	4,105	2,763	2,455	12,996	85	
Anba	5	96	5,420	4,079	2,943	2,864	15,306	100	
Dawn	7	97	3,813	4,192	3,364	3,025	14,394	94	
S. G. **	7	98	3,986	4,037	3,383	2,889	14,295	94	
Kara	9	98	4,699	4,612	3,544	3,354	16,209	106	
LSD(0.05)		7	97	4,438	4,124	3,201	2,981	14,745	NS

: 9 = ( ), NS = . S. G. = Summer Green  
 \*: , \*\*: .

3

9

Potomac Okay, Anba 7  
 8 9  
 가 . Kara 85% 가  
 91 95% 가 가 .  
 3  
 ( 9), 85% Kara Potomac  
 13%가 가  
 Anba Potomac( ) 100%  
 .

9. 3 Orchardgrass

, 1997.

		%	kg/ha				%
Potomac*	7	91	20,733	16,474	15,267	17,491	100
Okay	7	95	19,819	16,064	12,996	16,293	93
Anba	7	91	20,012	17,205	15,306	17,508	100
Dawn	8	91	17,795	16,983	14,394	16,391	94
S. G. **	8	92	20,285	15,736	14,295	16,705	96
Kara	9	85	13,985	15,683	16,209	15,292	87
	8	91	18,738	16,358	14,745	16,613	
LSD(0.05)			3,056	NS	NS		

: 9 = ( ), NS = . S. G. = Summer Green

\*: , \*\*: .

3 1 가  
 10 , ADF  
 32.9 36.6% 가 NDF 62.5  
 68.6% 가 AFGC Amba, Dawn  
 Summer Green 5 4  
 가 가 .

10. 3 1 Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
 , 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Potomac*	34.4	62.5	93	3
Okay	34.2	65.2	90	3
Amba	35.2	67.2	85	4
Dawn	35.5	68.6	84	4
Summer Green**	36.6	66.9	84	4
Kara	32.9	66.8	89	3
	34.8	66.2	88	4

\*: , \*\*: .

### 3. 1998

1998

11

7 8

1998

( 11)

3 ha 10,302kg  
 가 가 .  
 Potomac Kara 18% .

11. Orchardgrass

1998.

		kg/ha				%
		1	2	3		
Potomac*	7	3,789	3,647	3,605	11,041	100
Okay	8	3,185	3,738	3,594	10,517	95
Anba	8	3,085	3,285	3,355	9,726	88
Dawn	7	2,587	3,918	4,404	10,908	99
Summer Green**	7	3,479	3,358	3,784	10,621	96
Kara	7	2,351	3,519	3,131	9,001	82
LSD(0.05)	7	3,079	3,578	3,646	10,302	NS

: 9 = ( ), NS = .

\*: , \*\*: .

( 12),

8 가 . 3  
 ha 8,350kg

Potomac

Okay Kara

12. Orchardgrass

1998.

		1	2	3		
		kg/ha				%
Potomac*	8	6,531	2,427	954	9,912	100
Okay	8	4,735	2,688	673	7,736	78
Anba	8	5,271	2,708	506	8,485	86
Dawn	8	4,760	3,208	746	8,714	88
Summer Green**	8	5,740	2,906	860	9,506	96
Kara	8	2,917	2,448	381	5,746	58
LSD(0.05)	8	4,932	2,731	687	8,350	1,748

: 9 = ( ). \*: , \*\*: .

13

Okay Dawn 7

가 Kara

ha 10,161kg 가

Summer Green Anba

(9,663kg/ha) Okay Dawn

가 .

1998 3

8

Dawn 7

13. Orchardgrass

1998.

		1	2	3		
		kg/ha				%
Potomac*	9	6,126	2,046	1,491	9,663	100
Okay	7	3,388	2,102	1,031	6,521	68
Anba	8	5,240	2,020	1,105	8,365	87
Dawn	7	4,228	2,231	1,662	8,121	84
Summer Green**	9	5,387	2,039	1,703	9,129	95
Kara	8	6,387	2,475	1,299	10,161	105
LSD(0.05)	8	5,126	2,152	1,382	8,660	1,539

: 9 = ( ). \*: , \*\*: .

14

3

ha

9,104kg

Potomac

10,205kg

가

Okay

Kara

가

Anba,

Dawn

Summer Green

Summer

Green

1998

3

1

( 15)

ADF

35.5%

NDF

63.4%

Potomac

ADF

(39.7%)

14. 3 Orchardgrass

, 1998.

		kg/ha				%
Potomac*	8	11,041	9,912	9,663	10,205	100
Okay	8	10,517	7,736	6,521	8,258	81
Anba	8	9,726	8,485	8,365	8,859	87
Dawn	7	10,908	8,714	8,120	9,247	91
Summer Green**	8	10,621	9,506	9,128	9,752	96
Kara	8	9,001	5,746	10,161	8,303	81
	8	10,302	8,350	8,660	9,104	
LSD(0.05)		NS	1,748	1,539		

: 9 = ( ), NS = .  
 \*: , \*\*: .

15. 3 1 Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Potomac*	39.7	64.5	84	4
Okay	33.0	59.4	100	4
Anba	35.6	64.5	89	4
Dawn	35.4	63.8	91	4
Summer Green**	35.2	64.4	90	4
Kara	33.9	63.6	94	4
	35.5	63.4	91	4

\*, \*\*, .

		가	.					RFV
			92		81		100	
		RFV						
Potomac	4				가			4
		.						
	4. 3		3					
		가.						
			3		3			
	16		.					
		Potomac			Anba가 9		가	
Dawn		7					8	
		.						8
	16. 3		3					, 1996 1998.

			%	kg/ha				%
Potomac*	9	8	91	13,309	12,632	12,465	12,802	100
Okay	8	8	95	12,280	11,717	9,759	11,252	88
Anba	9	8	91	12,298	12,258	11,836	12,131	95
Dawn	7	8	91	11,502	11,841	11,257	11,533	90
S. G. **	8	8	92	12,722	12,292	11,712	12,242	96
Kara	8	8	85	9,610	10,893	13,185	11,229	88
	8	8	91	11,954	11,939	11,702	11,865	93

, : 9 = ( ), S. G. = Summer Green. \*: , \*\*: .

91%

Kara 85% 가 .

ha ,

3 11,954, 11,939 11,702kg

Okay, Dawn Kara

(Potomac) (Summer Green)

가 . Anba 12,131kg/ha

(12,802kg/ha) 5% 가

Summer Green 1%

Anba

,

.

.

가

3 3 ADF,

NDF, RFV 17 ADF

36.1% Kara 35.2% 가

Potomac 37.2% 가

ADF 가 .

NDF ADF

NDF 65.5% Anba Summer Green 66.0%

가 Okay 63.7% .



8 9

가 8

Kara 85% 가

91%

ha

Potomac가 ha

12, 802kg(100%)

Anba ha 12, 131kg(95%)

Summer Green(12, 242kg/ha) (96%)

ADF 36. 1%

가

NDF

가

4

3

3

Anba

가

3

(Italian ryegrass)

1

2

가

258

가

14

가

가가

가

가

가

2

1 Tetraflorum Italian ryegrass

FL80 Marshall, Hercules,

Grazer, TAM-90, Typhoon, Ajax27-LI, Pyramid Arvicola

2 3 1

1 3

Ribeye Aristocrat 가

3

.  $0.7 \times 4.5m = 3.15m^2$  . ha 40kg  
 1 9  
 19 10 10 , 2 3 9 23 27  
 3 .  
 ha 10,000kg  
 ha 80,  
 150, 70kg 100 80kg  
 . 180, 150,  
 150kg가 . 1996 1997 4 5 ,  
 1997 1998 4 1998  
 3 , , 가  
 . 300 500g  
 65 148  
 ADF, NDF Van  
 Soest (1980) RFV (RFV = %DDM  
 $\times \%DM \div 1.29$ ) .

### 3

1. 1996 1997

1996 1997  
 1 .  
 ,  
 Tetraflorum 82% Hercules, TAM-90,  
 Typhoon, Ajax27, FL80 60, 66, 65, 77 74%

1. Italian ryegrass

1996 1997.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Tetraflorum*	82	5.8	9	9	6,841	100
Marshall**	83	5.9	8	7	9,103	133
Hercules	60	5.9	8	9	6,080	89
Grazer	89	4.28	8	7	10,420	152
TAM 90	66	5.4	8	7	6,997	102
Typhoon	65	5.5	8	7	7,011	102
Ajax 27	77	5.8	8	9	7,320	107
Pyramid	87	-	8	9	7,553	110
FL80**	74	4.29	8	7	9,413	138
Arvicola	92	4.30	8	8	6,496	95
	77		8	8	7,722	113
LSD(0.05)					1,681	

, : 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

1996 가 가

ryegrass가

Grazer, FL80( ) Arvicola 가

8

7 9

Tetraflorum( )

Grazer(52% ), Marshall(33% ) FL80

38%가 . Pyramid 10%가 1996 1997

가 .

2 .

Hercules, Grazer, TAM-90, Typhoon FL80 Grazer,  
TAM-90, Typhoon, FL80( ) Arvi cola 4  
5 가  
가 9  
8 9 ha  
Tetraflorum  
9% 4 Marshall 30%, Grazer  
10% Pyramid 9%가 Arvi cola 21%  
가 .

2. Italian ryegrass ,  
1996 1997.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Tetraflorum*	87	-	9	8	6,572	100
Marshall**	92	5.1	9	9	8,561	130
Hercules	68	-	9	8	5,259	80
Grazer	73	4.19	9	8	7,228	110
TAM-90	64	4.29	9	8	5,132	78
Typhoon	64	4.30	9	8	5,217	79
Ajax 27	91	-	9	8	6,921	105
Pyramid	89	-	9	9	7,154	109
FL80**	58	4.21	9	8	6,868	105
Arvi cola	100	4.21	9	9	8,000	121
	79		9	8	6,691	102
LSD(0.05)					628	

, : 9 = , 1 = ,  
\*: , \*\*: .

( 3)

100%

가 .

Marshall ( ) 4

가 Grazer FL80( ) 4 7 4

12 가 가 .

가 9 가 .

3. Italian ryegrass ,

1996 1997.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Tetraflorum*	100	4 · 29	9	6	12, 842	100
Marshall**	100	-	9	5	17, 778	138
Hercules	100	4 · 28	9	8	14, 441	112
Grazer	100	4 · 7	9	4	16, 746	130
TAM 90	100	4 · 24	9	5	16, 825	131
Typhoon	100	4 · 23	9	5	16, 800	131
Ajax 27	100	4 · 29	9	7	11, 826	92
Pyramid	100	4 · 29	9	6	13, 503	105
FL80**	100	4 · 12	9	4	15, 369	121
Arvicola	100	4 · 21	9	2	9, 079	71
	100		9	5	14, 521	111
LSD(0.05)					1, 947	

, : 9 = , 1 = ,  
\*: , \*\*: .

가

. Arvicola, FL80 ( )

Grazer 4 . ha  
 Tetraflorum( ) 12%  
 Marshall (38% ), Hercules(12%), Grazer, TAM 90 Typhoon  
 30, 31 31%가 FL80 21%가  
 가 .  
 ,  
 4 . ,  
 Hercules, TAM 90, Typhoon FL80( ) 76, 77, 76  
 77% 가 76 77%

4. 3 Italian ryegrass ,  
 1996 1997.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Tetraflorum*	90	5 · 4	9	8	8,752	100
Marshall**	92	5 · 5	9	7	11,814	135
Hercules	76	5 · 3	9	8	8,593	98
Grazer	87	4 · 18	9	6	11,465	131
TAM 90	77	4 · 29	9	7	9,651	110
Typhoon	76	4 · 30	9	7	9,676	111
Ajax 27	89	5 · 4	9	8	8,683	99
Pyramid	92	-	9	8	9,403	107
FL80**	77	4 · 21	9	6	10,550	121
Arvicola	97	4 · 25	9	6	7,858	90
	85		9	7	9,645	110

, : 9 = , 1 = ,  
 \*: , \*\*: .

가 . Grazer, FL80  
 Arvicola 가

9 가

가

Grazer, FL80( ) Arvi cola

가 가

Tetraflorum Marshall (35% )( )  
 ), Grazer(31%), TAM 90(10%), Typhoon(11%), Pyrami d(7%)  
 FL80(21%) . Marshall 1997  
 Grazer

5. 3 Italian ryegrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
 , 1996 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Tetraflorum*	30.6	58.5	103	3
Marshall**	29.7	57.2	107	3
Hercules	30.6	57.0	106	3
Grazer	32.3	56.7	105	3
TAM 90	31.6	57.9	103	3
Typhoon	32.3	58.7	101	3
Ajax 27	29.5	53.8	114	3
Pyramid	30.9	55.5	109	3
FL80**	33.9	59.7	97	4
Arvi cola	30.7	57.3	105	3
	31.2	57.2	105	3

\* : , \*\* :



Marshall 99%  
 Grazer FL80  
 Marshall 가  
 Grazer, TAM 90  
 FL80  
 Tetraflorum FL80  
 Marshall ( ) Grazer  
 TAM 90  
 7 100%

7. Italian ryegrass ,  
 1997 1998.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Tetraflorum*	100	-	9	8	7,841	100
Marshall**	100	-	9	7	9,122	116
Grazer	100	4.8	9	7	9,852	126
TAM 90	100	-	9	7	8,730	111
Typhoon	100	-	9	8	8,572	109
Pyramid	100	-	9	8	8,180	104
FL80**	100	4.11	9	7	9,185	117
Ribeye	100	4.12	9	7	8,984	115
Arvicola	100	-	9	7	6,825	87
LSD(0.05)	100		9	7	7,574 549	109

, : 9= , 1= , \*: , \*\*: .

4 8 가 Grazer FL80  
 Ri beye . 9  
 7 8  
 . FL80( ) Grazer ha  
 9, 852kg/ha Marshall ha  
 9, 122kg .  
 ( 8)  
 Pyramid 70% 가 83%  
 가 . Grazer가 4 2  
 가 FL80( ) Ri beye  
 가 .

8. Italian ryegrass ,  
 1997 1998.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Tetraflorum*	83	-	9	9	12,690	100
Marshall**	93	-	9	9	16,456	130
Grazer	100	4.2	9	9	15,829	125
TAM 90	100	-	9	9	15,085	119
Typhoon	97	-	9	9	13,820	109
Pyramid	70	-	9	9	9,716	77
FL80**	100	4.8	9	9	17,248	136
Ri beye	100	4.7	9	9	15,858	125
Arvicola	100	-	9	9	14,553	115
LSD(0.05)	94		9	9	14,584 1,633	

, : 9= , 1= , \*: , \*\*: .

가 9

Pyramid가 가 ha

9, 716kg

(FL80)

Marshall

Grazer Ri beye

3 1997 1998

9

86%(Pyramid)

Grazer (99%) 가

Grazer(4 10 )가 가

FL80( ), Ri beye

9. 3 Italian ryegrass

1997 1998.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Tetraflorum*	89	-	9	9	8, 477	100
Marshall **	97	-	9	8	11, 132	116
Grazer	99	4 · 10	9	7	11, 185	126
TAM 90	98	-	9	7	10, 152	111
Typhoon	95	-	9	8	9, 355	109
Pyramid	86	-	9	9	7, 912	104
FL80**	88	4 · 13	9	7	11, 079	117
Ri beye	90	4 · 13	9	8	10, 226	115
Arvi cola	90	-	9	8	8, 833	87
	90		9	8	9, 817	

, : 9= , 1= , \* : , \*\* :

가 Grazer, TAM 90 FL80 9 가  
 가 ( 10) ADF 32.5% 36.5%  
 가 NDF ADF  
 Tetraflourm 56.4% 가  
 Marshall 62.6% 가 RFV 105  
 127 가 3 가  
 가

10. 3 Italian ryegrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
 , 1997 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Tetraflourum*	33.5	56.4	127	2
Marshall**	35.7	62.6	105	3
Grazer	35.9	60.1	111	3
TAM 90	34.1	59.1	119	3
Typhoon	33.8	58.9	120	3
Pyramid	32.0	61.3	121	3
FL80**	35.5	60.0	112	3
Ribeye	36.5	60.8	106	3
Aristocrat	35.7	59.2	113	3
	34.7	59.8	115	3

\*: , \*\*: .

3. 3 3

, , 3 3

11

. , Marshall, Grazer가 가

FL80

1996 7 1997 8

74% 63% 가 .

FL80 가

가 .

Grazer FL80

( ) 4 14 4 17 가

2 .

11. 3 3 Italian ryegrass

, 1996 1998.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Tetraflorum*	90	-	9	9	8,615	100
Marshall**	95	-	9	8	11,473	133
Grazer	93	4 · 14	9	7	11,325	132
TAM 90	88	-	9	7	9,902	115
Typhoon	85	-	9	8	9,516	110
Pyramid	89	-	9	9	8,658	101
FL80**	82	4 · 17	9	7	10,815	126
	89		9	8	10,043	

, : 9 = , 1 = , \*: , \*\*: .

가 9

가 .

가가 ( )

가 .

FL80

Marshall (33%), Grazer(32%) 가

Marshall

1997 .

1998 Grazer

TAM 90 Typhoon Tetraflorum 15

10%가 FL80 11% 16%가

가 .

3 3 가 12

ADF 31.5% 34.7% 가

NDF ADF . , 57.5%

59.9% 가 . 96 103 RFV

, 3 가 가 .

가 가

가 .

12. 3 3 Italian ryegrass ADF, NDF,

RFV , 1996 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	———— % ————			
Tetraflorum*	32.1	57.5	103	3
Marshall**	32.7	59.9	99	3
Grazer	34.1	58.4	99	3
TAM 90	32.9	58.5	101	2
Typhoon	33.1	58.8	100	3
Pyramid	31.5	58.4	103	3
FL80**	34.7	59.9	96	3
	33.0	58.6	100	3

\*: , \*\*: .

4.

1996 1998 ,  
가 .  
가. FL80( ) 69%  
가 Marshall( ) Grazer 가 .  
. Grazer FL80( ) 4 가  
가 가 .  
. 9 가  
. .  
. .  
. Marshall( ) Grazer(32% )  
가 가 TAM 90 Typhoon .  
. ADF Pyrami d가 가 NDF  
Tetraflorum  
3 가 .

4 (Winter rye)

1

2 가

. 2,031 가  
가가 . 2

. Kodi ak  
가 가 .  
Kodi ak 가 Kool grazer .  
가 가 .

2

1 Kodi ak Kool grazer  
Oklon, Bates, Rahu, FL401, FL8727 FL304  
. 2 3 1  
4

5 (Humbolt, MAC blue, Barr Grazer, Barr Grain  
 Master, Lovaszpatonai) 가 .

Lovaszpatonai

. 3  
 .  $0.7 \times 4.5m = 3.15m^2$  . ha  
 150kg 1  
 , 9 19 , 9 24 10 4  
 2 3 9 30 10 2 .  
 ha 10,000kg  
 ha 80, 150,  
 70kg 100 80kg  
 . 180, 150, 150kg  
 가 . 1996 1997 11  
 2 1 2  
 4 1997 1998 4 1  
 . 3 ,  
 가 .  
 300  
 500g 65 148  
 ADF, NDF Van Soest  
 (1980) RFV (RFV = %DDM  $\times$  %DMI  
 $\div$  1.29) .

### 3

1. 1996 1997

1996 1997

1 . 1

11 FLA01 FL8727

1 11

65 86% 가 .

FLA01 6 가

1. , 1996

1997.

					1 2			
	%				kg/ha		%	
Kodi ak*	86	9	8	-	1,350	8,762	10,445	100
Kool grazer**	76	8	8	4 · 22	2,270	11,040	13,310	127
Okl on	72	7	9	4 · 21	2,405	10,232	12,637	121
Bates	65	7	8	4 · 20	2,611	11,119	13,730	132
Rahu	69	9	9	-	2,038	6,767	8,805	84
FL401	0	6	-	-	2,671	-	2,671	26
FL8727	0	7	-	-	2,485	-	2,485	24
FL304	77	9	9	-	1,238	5,591	6,829	65
	74.5	8	9		2,134	8,919	8,864	85
LSD(0.05)					665	1,942	1,682	

, : 9 = , 1 = , \*: , \*\*: .

Oklon Bates 4 21 20 가  
 Kool grazer 가  
 Oklon Bates Kodi ak 21  
 32% Kool grazer  
 2  
 , FL401 FL8727  
 FL304(70%) 88 100%

2.  
 1996 1997.

	%					1 2		%
						kg/ha		
Kodi ak*	100	9	8	-	2,771	8,603	11,375	100
Kool grazer**	96	9	8	4 · 11	3,443	10,137	13,581	119
Oklon	96	9	9	4 · 10	3,905	9,608	13,513	119
Bates	96	9	8	4 · 11	3,685	9,915	13,600	120
Rahu	88	9	9	-	3,181	6,719	9,900	87
FL401	0	9	-	-	4,041	-	4,041	36
FL8727	0	9	-	-	3,695	-	3,695	32
FL304	70	9	9	-	2,225	5,492	7,717	68
	91	9	9		3,368	8,412	9,678	85
LSD(0.05)							808	

, : 9 = , 1 = , \*: , \*\*: .

Oklon Bates 96 96% Kool grazer( ) . 9  
 8 9 . Oklon Bates가  
 4 10 4 11 가 .  
 Kool grazer .  
 Kodi ak Oklon Bates 19% 20%  
 가 Kool grazer  
 .  
 가 .  
 3 . FL401 FL8727 12 15%  
 3. , 1996 1997.

					1		2	
	%				kg/ha			%
Kodi ak*	100	5	7	4 · 13	1, 581	9, 647	11, 228	100
Kool grazer**	100	8	7	4 · 6	2, 724	13, 300	16, 024	143
Oklon	100	9	7	4 · 4	3, 289	13, 511	16, 800	150
Bates	100	9	8	4 · 5	3, 269	14, 450	17, 719	158
Rahu	100	6	7	4 · 12	2, 403	9, 567	11, 970	107
FL401	12	8	8	4 · 2	3, 247	7, 772	11, 019	98
FL8727	15	7	8	4 · 4	3, 251	6, 836	10, 089	90
FL304	100	4	9	-	1, 391	10, 987	12, 378	110
	75	7	8		2, 644	10, 759	13, 403	120
LSD(0. 05)					673	1, 400	1, 204	

, : 9 = , 1 = , \*: , \*\*: .

100%

Kodi ak, Rahu FL304 4 6

7

7 9

Kodi ak( ) 4 13 가

Kodi ak FL401 FL8727

7% Okl on Bates

50 58% 가

Kool grazer 7 15%가 3

4

4. 3

1996 1997.

	%			kg/ha	%	
Kodi ak*	95	7	8	-	11,016	100
Kool grazer**	91	8	8	4 · 13	13,972	127
Okl on	89	8	8	4 · 11	14,317	130
Bates	87	8	8	4 · 12	15,016	136
Rahu	86	8	8	-	10,225	93
FL401	12	8	8	-	5,910	54
FL8727	15	8	8	-	5,422	49
FL304	82	7	9	-	8,975	81
	70	8	8		10,607	96

, : 9 = , 1 = , \*: , \*\*: .

FL401(12%), FL8727 (15%)

82 95%

7 8

8 9

4

가

2

Oklon Bates가

가 . Oklon

Bates

50 58%가

5. 3

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1996-1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Kodi ak*	25. 5	53. 1	121	3
Kool grazer**	32. 3	59. 1	100	3
Okl on	32. 7	59. 5	99	3
Bates	34. 4	59. 1	98	3
Rahu	27. 8	53. 9	116	3
FL401	33. 0	54. 3	108	3
FL8727	33. 4	58. 2	101	3
FL304	29. 2	53. 8	114	3
	31. 0	56. 4	107	3

\*, \*\*, .

3

2

가

5

. ADF

Kodi ak 25. 5% 가

32. 2 34. 4%

NDF ADF

RFV Kodi ak 121 가

3

3

2. 1997 1998

1997 1998

6 , Kodi grazer가 78% 가 가 .

Kodi ak( ) 4 21 가 Humbol t

6.

1997 1998.

	%				kg/ha	%
Kodi ak*	97	4 · 21	9	8	8,641	100
Kool grazer**	78	4 · 14	9	6	13,575	157
Okl on	96	4 · 13	9	6	13,220	153
Bates	92	4 · 13	9	6	13,815	160
Humbol t	93	4 · 20	9	7	12,991	150
MAC blue	99	4 · 14	9	6	9,111	105
Barr Grazer	100	4 · 13	9	6	14,808	171
B. G. Master	94	4 · 12	9	6	15,272	177
Lovaszpatonai	79	4 · 19	9	7	13,208	153
	92		9	6	12,738	
LSD(0.05)					2,451	

, : 9 = , 1 = , B. G. = Barr Grain,  
 \*: , \*\*: .

20 , Lovaszpatonai가 19 4 12  
 14 2 .  
 9  
 (Kodi ak)  
 .  
 ha MAC blue(5%  
 ) 50 77% . 가  
 B. G. Master 77% 가 .  
 가 . 7  
 100% 가 .  
 Kodi ak Humbol t  
 4 7 8 가 .  
 9 8 . ha  
 7.  
 1997 1998.

	%	.			kg/ha	%
Kodi ak*	100	-	9	9	6, 751	100
Kool grazer**	100	4 · 8	9	8	12, 371	183
Oklon	100	4 · 7	9	8	11, 121	165
Bates	100	4 · 7	9	8	11, 873	176
Humbol t	100	-	9	8	11, 005	163
MAC blue	100	4 · 8	9	8	8, 328	123
Barr Gazer	100	4 · 7	9	8	11, 767	174
B. G. Master	100	4 · 7	9	8	12, 169	180
	100		9	8	10, 673	158
LSD(0. 05)					421	

, : 9 = , 1 = , B. G. = Barr Grain,  
 \* : , \*\* :

Kodi ak 23 83%

. 3

Kool grazer( ), Okl on Bates

(

8) Kodi ak 63% 가

80 100%

Kodi ak Humbol t 3 22 24

가 9

8

Kodi ak 76 135%

8. , 1997 1998.

	%				kg/ha	%
Kodi ak*	63	-	9	8	7,029	100
Kool grazer**	90	3 · 23	9	8	15,369	219
Okl on	100	3 · 24	9	8	14,301	203
Bates	100	3 · 23	9	8	13,705	195
Humbol t	80	-	9	8	12,496	178
MAC blue	83	3 · 23	9	8	12,381	176
Barr Grazer	100	3 · 22	9	8	16,670	237
B. G. Master	100	3 · 23	9	8	16,568	235
	90		9	8	13,565	
LSD(0.05)					1,260	

, : 9 = , 1 = , B. G. = Barr Grain,  
 \*: , \*\*: .

3 1997 1998

( 9)

94%

Kodi ak 87%

가

9. 3

1997 1998.

	%				kg/ha	%
Kodi ak*	87	-	9	8	7,474	100
Kool grazer**	90	4 · 3	9	7	13,772	184
Oklon	99	3 · 28	9	7	12,881	172
Bates	97	4 · 4	9	7	13,131	176
Humbol t	91	-	9	7	12,164	163
MAC blue	94	4 · 5	9	7	9,940	133
Barr Grazer	100	4 · 4	9	7	14,415	193
B. G. Master	98	4 · 3	9	7	14,670	196
	94		9	7	12,306	

, : 9 = , 1 = , B. G. = Barr Grain,

\*: , \*\*: .

Kodi ak

Humbol t가 가

3 28

4 5

가

가

9

가

Kodi ak

가

ha Kodi ak  
 7,474kg 가 Humbol t 63% Kodi ak  
 33 93% Kool grazer  
 Barr Grazer B. G. Master .  
 3 1997 1998  
 가 ( 10) Kodi ak ADF 30.7% 가  
 39.0 40.4% NDF ADF  
 . , Kodi ak 56.8% 가  
 . RFV 89 137  
 가 Kodi ak 2  
 2 3  
 가 가 .

10. 3 ADF, NDF, RFV  
 , 1997 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	———— % ————			
Kodi ak*	30.7	56.8	137	2
Kool grazer**	37.8	62.4	101	2
Okl on	39.0	62.6	97	3
Bates	40.3	64.5	90	3
Humbol t	35.9	58.6	113	2
MAC blue	36.2	63.0	104	2
Barr Grazer	40.4	64.9	89	3
B. G. Master	39.2	63.4	95	3
	37.4	62.0	103	2

\*: , \*\*: .



가 35.1 37.4% .  
 NDF ADF .  
 RFV Kodi ak 113 가  
 90 94 .  
 1  
 가

12. 3 3 ADF, NDF, RFV  
 , 1996 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—— % ——			
Kodi ak*	28.1	55.0	113	3
Kool grazer**	35.1	60.8	94	4
Oklon	35.9	61.1	93	4
Bates	37.4	61.8	90	4
	34.1	59.7	98	4

\*: , \*\*: .

4.  
 1996 1998 ,  
 ,  
 가 .

가. Kodi ak(91%)  
 90 94% .  
 Kodi ak  
 (Oklon Bates) 가 4 8 가

가 .

8 가

Kodi ak 가

Kool grazer( ), Okl on Bates (Cayuse)

50, 47 52%가 가 .

. ADF 28.1% Kodi ak 35.1 37.4%

. NDF ADF .

3 4

가 .

5 (Oats)

1

2

가

가

가 가

가

8

210

가

가

2

가

가가

가

Cayuse

Swan

Swan( )

가

가가

2

1

Cayuse

Euro, Wallaroo, Starter, Pallinup, Cashel, Yilgarn

Mortlock

2

1

Cayuse

가

Swan

1

2 8 (Starter Amagalon,  
Bob, Winjardie, Irwin, FL501, FL502, FL874-E55, Dane) 가

. 3 2 6  
가 3 (Winjardie, Irwin,  
Dane)

3  
0.7 × 4.5m = 3.15m<sup>2</sup> . ha 200  
kg 1 , 2  
, 3 8 21 29 .

ha 10,000kg  
120kg, 150kg,  
80kg . 1 , 2 , 3  
10 16 25 .  
, , 50% , ,  
.

300  
500g 65 148  
ADF, NDF Van Soest  
(1980) RFV (RFV = %DDM × %DMI  
÷ 1.29)

3

1. 1996

1996

1

8 9  
9 29 10 10  
Pallinup Cashel 50%  
10 8 10 12 74 100cm Cashel 가  
Cayuse 80cm

1. , 1996.

50%							
				cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	8	-	-	80	11.5	5,389	100
Euro	9	10·7	-	82	13.5	5,936	110
Wallaroo	9	10·1	-	75	12.7	5,296	98
Starter	9	10·10	-	74	12.5	5,124	95
Pallinup	9	9·29	10·8	86	17.0	6,364	118
Cashel	9	10·2	10·12	100	16.1	6,888	128
Yilgarn	9	10·4	-	77	14.1	5,566	103
Mortlock	9	9·30	-	75	12.4	4,904	91
	9			81	13.7	5,696	105
LSD(0.05)						NS	

: 9 = , 1 = , NS = . \*: .

Pallinup, Cashel Yilgarn 17.0,  
16.1 14.1%  
Cashel, Pallinup  
Cayuse(4,944kg/ha) 28 18%가 가

2

9

Pallinup Mortlock 가 50%  
 10 10 Pallinup 가 Starter가 가  
 Cashel Pallinup 89 88cm .  
 Pallinup Cashel 가  
 ha 5,800kg  
 Pallinup, Cashel, Yilgarn Cayuse  
 27, 17 18%가 가 가 .

2. , 1996.

50%							
		—	—	cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	9	-	-	76	11.8	4,944	100
Euro	9	10.11	-	75	13.0	5,910	120
Wallaroo	9	10.4	-	69	12.8	5,217	106
Starter	9	10.15	-	74	11.5	5,102	103
Pallinup	9	10.2	10.10	88	15.0	6,288	127
Cashel	9	10.5	-	89	14.1	5,763	117
Yilgarn	9	10.8	-	75	13.1	5,810	118
Mortlock	9	10.2	-	76	13.2	5,490	111
	9			78	13.1	5,566	113
LSD(0.05)						649	

: 9 = , 1 = , \*:

( 3) 8 9 가  
 가 Pallinup

Yilgarn 10 8 10 9 가 .

3. , 1996.

50%								
		—	.	—	cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	9	-	-	-	91	11.4	5,619	100
Euro	9	10.21	-	-	83	12.4	5,854	104
Wallaroo	9	10.17	-	-	80	13.2	5,825	104
Starter	9	-	-	-	85	11.1	5,546	99
Pallinup	9	10.8	10.17	-	73	15.8	5,741	102
Cashel	8	10.15	-	-	99	14.6	6,866	122
Yilgarn	9	10.9	-	-	84	14.1	5,590	100
Mortlock	9	10.16	-	-	91	12.5	5,360	95
	9				86	13.1	5,800	103
LSD(0.05)								NS

: 9 = , 1 = , NS = . \*: .

Pallinup 50% .  
 Pallinup 73cm Cashel 99cm 가 .  
 .  
 가 Cashel  
 22% 가 .  
 3  
 4 가 9  
 가 . 가 10 3  
 Pallinup 50% 10 12 .

4 13

Cayuse 가 .  
 Cashel 96cm  
 75 82cm 가 .  
 Cashel (Cayuse)  
 22%가 Pallinup 15%, Euro가 11% Yilgarn 7%  
 가 .  
 ADF NDF  
 ( 5).  
 가 2 가 .  
 4. 3 , 1996.

50%								
		—	.	—	cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	9	-	-	-	82	11.6	5,317	100
Euro	9	10 · 13	-	-	80	13.0	5,900	111
Wallaroo	9	10 · 7	-	-	75	12.9	5,446	102
Starter	9	10 · 13	-	-	78	11.7	5,257	99
Pallinup	9	10 · 3	10 · 12	-	82	15.9	6,131	115
Cashel	9	10 · 7	-	-	96	14.9	6,506	122
Yilgarn	9	10 · 6	-	-	76	14.1	5,688	107
Mortlock	9	10 · 4	-	-	78	12.5	5,251	99
	9				81	13.3	5,687	107

: 9 = , 1 = , \*: .

5. 3

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1996.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	----- % -----			
Cayuse*	26.7	43.1	148	2
Euro	29.2	47.4	130	2
Wallaroo	26.3	43.5	148	2
Starter	26.8	44.0	143	2
Pallinup	33.4	57.0	103	2
Cashel	30.6	52.5	117	2
Yilgarn	29.1	49.1	127	2
Mortlock	29.1	48.7	126	2
	28.9	48.2	130	2

\*:

2. 1997

1997 6

9

Pallinup

Yilgarn 10 2 3 가

Swan, Irwin Wijnardie가 가 .

FL874-E55가 41cm 가 Cashel 89cm

가 .

14.4 17.5%

(Cayuse)

Cashel, Pallinup, Yilgarn, Swan,

1 Irwin Dane

(Swan) Cashel, Yilgarn, Irwin 가  
 Wjardie Dane

3 가 .

6. , 1997.

		50%		cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	9	-	-	66	11.7	2,810	100
Euro	9	-	-	64	12.3	2,929	105
Cashel	9	10 · 18	-	89	14.4	5,181	185
Pallinup	9	10 · 2	10 · 20	78	16.2	4,371	157
Yilgarn	9	10 · 3	10 · 21	80	16.5	4,739	170
Starter Amag.	9	-	-	73	11.5	3,308	118
Bob	9	10 · 21	-	43	16.7	3,084	110
Swan**	9	10 · 5	10 · 21	85	17.5	4,649	166
Wjardie	9	10 · 7	-	74	13.2	3,747	135
Irwin	9	10 · 5	10 · 21	84	17.2	4,784	172
FL501	9	-	-	54	13.8	2,822	102
FL502	9	-	-	49	13.6	2,812	100
FL874- E55	9	-	-	41	14.8	2,391	85
Dane	9	10 · 19	-	82	13.8	3,966	142
	9			69	14.5	3,685	125
LSD(0.05)						1,197	

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

( 7), 9 .

Pallinup, Yilgarn, Swan Irwin 10

50% 10 .

FL874- E55, Bob . , Irwin, Swan(

), Pallinup, Cashel

7.

, 1997.

		50%		cm	%	kg/ha	%
		—	.				
Cayuse*	9	-	-	55	15.3	4,857	100
Euro	9	10 · 18	-	58	16.2	5,852	121
Cashel	9	10 · 17	-	72	16.8	6,360	131
Pallinup	9	10 · 5	10 · 18	72	20.5	7,566	156
Yilgarn	9	10 · 6	10 · 19	67	19.7	7,323	151
Starter Amag.	9	-	-	64	15.9	5,852	121
Bob	9	-	-	42	17.7	4,963	103
Swan**	9	10 · 7	10 · 19	74	19.7	7,111	147
Winjardie	9	10 · 13	-	61	19.1	6,720	140
Irwin	9	10 · 7	10 · 19	77	18.3	6,687	138
FL501	9	-	-	41	17.2	4,772	99
FL502	9	-	-	39	15.4	4,677	97
FL874- E55	9	-	-	37	18.3	4,466	93
Dane	9	-	-	67	17.3	5,968	124
	9			59	17.7	5,941	116
LSD(0.05)						830	

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

Cayuse

Swan( ) 가

. Pallinup Yilgarn 6 3%가

Winjardie, Irwin Cashel

19.1 20.5%

(Cayuse)

FL501, FL502 FL874- E55

(Swan)

가

Pallinup, Yilgarn, Wijnardie, Irwin, Cashel Dane

8

9

Pallinup,

Yilgarn, Swan( ) Irwin 10 가

50% 10

8. , 1997.

		50%		cm	%	kg/ha	%
		—	—				
Cayuse*	9	-	-	84	14.5	6,135	100
Euro	9	10.18	-	87	14.8	6,591	107
Cashel	9	10.16	-	100	18.3	7,341	120
Pallinup	9	10.6	10.17	91	17.9	6,635	108
Yilgarn	9	10.7	10.17	97	19.3	7,346	120
Starter Amag.	9	10.23	-	91	15.8	6,566	111
Bob	9	-	-	72	15.8	5,050	82
Swan**	9	10.5	10.15	101	20.9	7,084	115
Wijnardie	9	10.21	-	97	17.8	7,293	118
Irwin	9	10.4	10.15	103	19.9	7,572	123
FL501	9	-	-	70	14.9	4,804	78
FL502	9	-	-	75	13.6	5,768	93
FL874- E55	9	-	-	66	15.8	4,748	77
Dane	9	10.21	-	98	18.0	7,826	126
	9			88	17.0	6,504	106
LSD(0.05)						1,252	

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

Swan( ) 103 101cm . , Irwin,

(Cayuse) Bob, FL501, FL502 FL874-E55

Swan

Dane, Starter Amgalon

3 9

9. 3 , 1997.

		50%		cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	9	-	-	68	13.8	4,601	100
Euro	9	10.18	-	70	14.4	5,124	112
Cashel	9	10.17	-	87	16.5	6,294	137
Pallinup	9	10.4	10.18	80	18.2	6,191	136
Yilgarn	9	10.5	10.19	81	18.5	6,469	141
Starter Amag.	9	10.23	-	76	14.4	5,342	116
Bob	9	10.21	-	52	16.7	4,366	96
Swan**	9	10.6	10.18	87	19.4	6,281	137
Winjardie	9	10.14	-	77	16.7	5,920	129
Irwin	9	10.5	10.18	88	18.5	6,348	138
FL501	9	-	-	55	15.3	4,133	90
FL502	9	-	-	54	14.2	4,419	96
FL874-E55	9	-	-	48	16.3	3,868	85
Dane	9	10.20	-	82	16.4	5,920	126
					16.4	5,377	118

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :



3. 1998

1998 8 10  
 11  
 Cayuse Winjardie  
 6 Irwin  
 8 9 , Dane  
 50%  
 Irwin 9 23 10 5 Swan (9  
 25 10 2 )  
 11. , 1998.

	50%		cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	6	-	76	11.5	4,616	100
Winjardie	6	10.9	71	13.6	4,693	102
Irwin	8	9.23	81	14.2	5,460	118
Dane	9	10.7	94	13.2	6,534	142
Swan**	9	9.25	83	16.0	5,254	114
	8		81	13.7	5,311	115
LSD(0.05)					1,147	

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

Dane 94cm 가  
 71 83cm  
 13.7% Cayuse 가  
 11.5%  
 10 9 ha ( 11)

5,311kg

Dane(6,534kg/ha)

Irwin(5,460kg/ha)

. Dane Cayuse 42%가  
Irwin 18%

12 , Dane  
9 가 , Swan( ) 7  
6  
1998 가 가

50%  
Irwin 9 28 10 12 가  
Swan 10 2 10 13

12. , 1998.

	50%			cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	6	-	-	60	9.8	4,000	100
Winjardie	6	10 · 11	-	63	11.7	4,370	109
Irwin	6	9 · 28	10 · 12	72	13.4	5,450	136
Dane	9	10 · 12	-	91	13.8	5,735	143
Swan**	7	10 · 2	10 · 13	71	13.3	4,508	113
	7			71	12.4	4,813	120
LSD(0.05)						834	

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

Dane Winjardie 10 12 11  
 가 10 15 50% 가  
 . Cayuse 가  
 . Dane 91cm 71cm  
 20cm . Cayuse(60cm) Winjardie(63cm)  
 . ( 12) Cayuse(  
 )가 9.8% 가 Dane 13.8% 가  
 . ( 12) ha  
 4,813kg Dane(5,735kg)  
 Irwin(5,450kg) Cayuse(4,000kg)  
 Swan(4,508kg) . , Dane  
 Irwin Cayuse 43 36%  
 가 .  
 ( 13). Dane Swan(  
 ) 9 가 , Irwin 8  
 Cayuse Winjardie 7  
 . Irwin 10 10 가  
 가 , Swan( ) Irwin 2 10 12  
 가 . Irwin Swan 97 91cm  
 가 Dane (84cm)  
 . Cayuse가 8.9% 가  
 10.1 10.8% .  
 10 15 ha  
 ( 13) 3,559kg/ha 가

Irwin Dane Cayuse( )  
 12%가 .

13. , 1998.

	50%			cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	7	-	-	71	8.9	3,435	100
Wijnardie	7	-	-	70	10.1	3,220	94
Irwin	8	10 · 10	-	97	10.8	3,840	112
Dane	9	-	-	84	10.5	3,862	112
Swan**	9	10 · 12	-	91	10.2	3,437	100
	8			83	10.1	3,559	104
LSD(0.05)						NS	

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

3 1998  
 ( 14) Dane 9 가  
 Swan(8 ) Irwin (7  
 ) 가 6 . 3  
 가 Irwin Swan( )  
 9 30 10 3 가 Irwin  
 가가 Swan 3  
 가 Dane Wijnardie  
 10 10 11 가 가  
 Cayuse 10 가 가  
 . 78cm Dane 90cm  
 가 Cayuse( ) Wijnardie 69cm 68cm

가 Irwin Swan( ) . 3  
 1998 가  
 1997 12. 1% Cayuse(  
 ) 가 10. 1% 11. 8  
 13. 2% . ha 4, 549kg  
 Dane(5, 377kg/ha) Irwin(4, 917kg/ha) Cayuse( )  
 34% 23%가 가  
 Swan 8% .  
 14. 3 , 1998.

	50%			cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	6	-	-	69	10. 1	4, 017	100
Winjardie	6	10 · 11	-	68	11. 8	4, 094	102
Irwin	7	9 · 30	10 · 9	83	12. 8	4, 917	123
Dane	9	10 · 10	-	90	12. 5	5, 377	134
Swan**	8	10 · 3	10 · 8	82	13. 2	4, 340	108
	7			78	12. 1	4, 549	113

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

3 1998 10  
 가 ( 15) 3 ADF 34. 2%  
 Dane(35. 6%)  
 Irwin Swan( ) 34. 6% 35. 0%  
 .  
 NDF ADF  
 . 가 (RFV)

104 (index)

AFGC(1977) Hay Market Task Force가

3

2

3 ( )

15. 3

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Cayuse*	32.3	52.1	114	3
Winjardie	33.4	52.5	111	3
Irwin	34.6	55.6	104	3
Dane	35.6	54.9	104	3
Swan**	35.0	55.3	104	3
	34.2	54.1	107	3

\* : , \*\* :

4. 3 2 (1996 1997)

3 2

16

Cayuse 3 (Cashel, Pallinup Yilgarn)

가 Pallinup

Yilgarn 10 4 6 가 가

Cashel 92cm 가

Pallinup Yilgarn

Cashel . 2

Cashel (29% ), Pallinup(24% ), Yilgarn(22%)

가 . 2 3  
 1979 12 1998  
 가 가가 .  
 가 .

16. 3 2

, 1996 97.

		50%		cm	%	kg/ha	%
Cayuse*	9	-	-	75	12.9	4,959	100
Euro	9	10.16	-	75	13.7	5,512	111
Cashel	9	10.12	-	92	15.7	6,400	129
Pallinup	9	10.4	10.15	81	17.1	6,161	124
Yilgarn	9	10.6	10.16	79	16.3	6,062	122
	9			80	15.1	5,819	117

: 9 = , 1 = , \*: .

3 ADF

17 . Cashel, Pallinup

Yilgarn

NDF 가 . ,

. RFV



, 1997 1998.

		50%		cm	%	kg/ha	%
		—	—				
Cayuse*	7	-	-	69	12.0	4,309	100
Winjardie	7	10 · 13	-	73	14.3	5,007	116
Irwin	8	10 · 2	10 · 14	86	15.7	5,633	131
Dane	9	10 · 15	-	86	14.5	5,649	131
Swan**	8	10 · 5	10 · 14	85	16.3	5,311	123
	8			80	14.6	5,182	120

: 9 = , 1 = , \* : , \*\* :

가 가 .

Irwin Swan Dane . (85 86cm)

. Cayuse( ) 69cm 가

, Irwin Swan( ) 15.7% 16.3% 가

ha

Cayuse 4,309kg(100%) 가

Irwin Dane 5,633kg(131%) 5,649kg(131%)

Swan 5,311kg(123%) .

가 .

3 2 가

19 . , Cayuse( )가 51.2% 가

53.1 56.1% . RFV

Cayuse( )가 113 가 Winjardie가 111



- Cashel Euro 10
- 12 16 가 .
- 3) Cashel 92cm 가 75
- 81cm .
- 4) Pallinup Yilgarn  
17.1% 16.3% 가 Cashel (15.7%)
- 5) Cayuse(4,959kg/ha) Cashel,  
Pallinup Yilgarn 29, 24 22%가 .
- 6) 가 Pallinup ADF가 34.2% 가  
Cashel Yilgarn . NDF  
RFV Pallinup  
3  
3 .
- 7) Cashel, Pallinup Yilgarn 1997 12  
1998 가 가
- . 1997 1998
- 1) Dane 9 가  
Irwin Swan 8 .
- 2) Irwin Swan 10 2 5  
, Winjardie Dane 10 13 15 가
- 3) 80cm Irwin(86cm),

	Dane(86cm)	Swan(85cm)			
4)		Swan( )	Irwin		16.3
	15.7cm	가			
5)		Irwin	Dane		5,633
	5,649kg/ha	(Cayuse)	31%		
6)	가		ADF		31.0
	33.8%	NDF	51.2	56.1%	
	RFV	Cayuse( )	가	113	
	104	107			3
7)		Irwin	Dane	1998	12
		1999	가		

## 6

1. Brown, A. R. , and A. Almodares. 1976 Quantity and quality of triticale forage compared to other small grains. *Agron. J.* 68:264 266.
2. Bruckner, P.L. , and P.L. Raymer. 1990. Factors influencing species and cultivar choice of small grains for winter forage. *J. Prod. Agric.* 3:349 355.
3. Day, J.L. , P.L. Raymer, and A.E. Coy. 1995. 1994 95. Small Grains Performance Tests. The Georgia Agric. Expt. Sta. Rep. No. 636.
4. Mrey, D.D. 1979. Performance of triticale in comparison with wheat, oats, barley, and rye. *Agron. J.* 71:98 100.
5. McLean, R. 1991. Yilgarn oats. WADA. Farmnote No. 66191.
6. McLean, R. 1992. Oats. p. 75 81. *In* J. Carpenter(ed.) The 1993 Crop Variety Sowing Guide for Western Australia. DAWA Bull, 4246.

7. Pelham, S., T. Hoppo, G. Granwell, and C. Ross. 1995. Oat Breeding. Agron. and Ind. Report 1994/95.

8. Pfahler, P.L., R.D. Barnett, and H.H. Luke. 1985. Florida 401 Rye. Univ. of Fl. Agric. Expt Sta. Circular S 315.

9. . . . . 1978.  
가 . 3:1

7 52.

10. . . . . 1980.  
가 . 22: 461 469.

(Appendixes)

1. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1996.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	—————		
	%			
Potomac	38.3	75.6	70	5
Okay	39.7	75.9	63	5
Anba	41.9	76.1	67	5
Dawn	40.6	73.9	71	5
Summer Green	39.6	76.3	67	5
Kara	41.9	75.9	71	5
	40.3	75.7	68	5

2. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1996.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	—————		
	%			
Potomac	34.6	58.8	104	3
Okay	38.6	59.7	113	3
Anba	34.9	57.2	118	3
Dawn	34.9	54.6	129	2
Summer Green	33.5	59.2	119	3
Kara	35.5	60.0	108	3
	35.6	58.3	115	3

3. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1996.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Potomac	37.9	63.2	97	4
Okay	37.8	63.6	98	4
Anba	36.9	65.7	89	4
Dawn	39.7	67.3	87	4
Summer Green	38.3	64.2	92	4
Kara	39.4	66.0	84	5
	38.3	65.0	91	4

4. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Potomac	33.7	62.6	93	4
Okay	33.8	74.0	79	5
Anba	36.3	72.0	78	5
Dawn	35.3	75.2	76	5
Summer Green	37.5	70.2	79	5
Kara	29.4	72.8	84	5
	34.3	71.1	82	5

5. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Potomac	34.6	60.9	95	4
Okay	34.7	56.9	101	3
Anba	34.9	65.4	88	4
Dawn	35.0	61.8	93	4
Summer Green	36.1	63.5	89	4
Kara	33.8	58.5	100	4
	34.9	61.2	94	4

6. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Potomac	34.8	64.0	90	4
Okay	34.0	64.7	90	4
Anba	34.4	64.1	90	4
Dawn	36.3	68.7	84	5
Summer Green	36.3	67.0	84	5
Kara	35.5	69.1	83	5
	35.4	66.3	87	4

7. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Potomac	40.7	65.5	81	5
Okay	33.5	63.6	92	4
Anba	33.1	66.1	89	4
Dawn	37.8	68.6	81	5
Summer Green	31.9	64.6	92	4
Kara	34.2	69.4	84	5
	35.2	66.3	87	4

8. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Potomac	36.3	59.0	95	4
Okay	28.8	52.9	117	3
Anba	32.8	59.7	99	4
Dawn	31.8	54.4	110	3
Summer Green	32.7	56.7	104	3
Kara	30.4	52.9	115	3
	32.1	55.9	107	3

9. Orchardgrass ADF, NDF, RFV  
, 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	-----	-----		
	%			
Potomac	42.0	69.0	77	5
Okay	36.6	61.8	90	4
Anba	41.0	67.7	78	5
Dawn	36.7	68.3	82	5
Summer Green	41.0	72.0	73	5
Kara	37.1	68.5	82	5
	39.1	67.9	80	5

10. Italian ryegrass ADF,  
NDF, RFV , 1996 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	-----	-----		
	%			
Tetraflorum	33.8	69.8	103	3
Marshall	30.3	64.4	94	4
Hercules	31.7	65.3	92	4
Grazer	33.5	63.0	93	4
TAM 90	32.0	65.3	91	4
WPB-AR	33.1	65.2	90	4
Ajax 27	32.1	62.2	96	4
Pyramid	31.9	61.8	97	4
FL80	36.5	64.6	87	4
Arvicola	33.1	64.0	92	4
	32.8	64.6	92	4

11. Italian ryegrass ADF,  
NDF, RFV , 1996 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Tetraflorum	27.3	50.6	124	2
Marshall	28.0	53.4	117	3
Hercules	26.8	46.5	136	2
Grazer	28.9	49.9	124	2
TAM 90	28.0	50.0	125	2
WVPB-AR	29.4	52.9	116	3
Ajax 27	25.8	46.2	139	2
Pyramid	26.9	47.2	134	2
FL80	28.4	52.7	118	3
Arvicola	27.3	51.0	123	3
	27.7	50.0	126	2

12. Italian ryegrass ADF, NDF,  
RFV , 1996 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Tetraflorum	30.7	55.0	110	3
Marshall	30.8	53.8	112	3
Hercules	33.4	59.3	99	4
Grazer	34.6	57.1	101	3
TAM 90	34.7	58.5	98	4
WVPB-AR	34.5	58.0	100	4
Ajax 27	30.6	53.1	114	3
Pyramid	33.9	57.5	101	3
FL80	36.9	61.7	91	4
Arvicola	31.8	56.8	105	3
	33.2	57.1	103	3

13. Italian ryegrass ADF,  
NDF, RFV , 1997 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Tetraflorum	33.9	60.0	116	3
Marshall	37.1	66.5	93	4
Grazer	40.8	65.4	87	4
TAM 90	35.4	64.1	103	3
WPB-AR	35.5	65.7	99	4
Pyramid	32.8	68.0	102	3
FL80	39.0	63.3	94	4
Ribeye	39.1	63.8	93	4
Aristocrat	38.6	63.2	96	4
	36.9	64.4	98	4

14. Italian ryegrass ADF,  
NDF, RFV , 1997 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Tetraflorum	29.9	49.5	157	2
Marshall	36.2	62.2	104	3
Grazer	32.5	52.4	138	2
TAM 90	29.4	52.4	152	2
WPB-AR	30.3	52.4	148	2
Pyramid	29.0	55.9	146	2
FL80	32.5	56.8	128	2
Ribeye	34.2	57.7	119	3
Aristocrat	31.8	55.2	134	2
	31.8	55.0	136	2

15.	Italian ryegrass			ADF, NDF, RFV
	, 1997 1998.			
	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	———— % ————			
Tetraflorum	36.8	59.6	107	3
Marshall	33.8	59.1	118	3
Grazer	34.5	62.6	108	3
TAM 90	37.5	60.9	103	3
WPB-AR	35.5	58.6	114	3
Pyramid	34.2	59.9	115	3
FL80	35.0	59.9	112	3
Ribeye	36.3	60.9	106	3
Aristocrat	36.9	59.1	108	3
	35.6	60.1	110	3

16.	ADF, NDF, RFV			
	, 1996 1997.			
	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	———— % ————			
Kodiak	25.1	60.1	107	3
Kool grazer	31.3	60.0	98	4
Oklon	32.7	60.8	97	4
Bates	34.8	61.0	94	4
Rahu	28.0	57.9	108	3
FL401	-	-	-	-
FL8727	-	-	-	-
FL304	26.8	59.6	106	3
	29.8	60.1	102	3

17.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1996 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Kodi ak	24.6	49.6	131	2
Kool grazer	30.0	55.4	110	3
Okl on	30.1	57.2	107	3
Bates	31.0	55.8	108	3
Rahu	25.3	47.1	137	2
FL401	-	-	-	-
FL8727	-	-	-	-
FL304	26.4	45.9	138	2
	27.9	51.8	122	3

18.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1996 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Kodi ak	26.7	49.5	128	2
Kool grazer	35.6	61.0	93	4
Okl on	35.3	60.4	95	4
Bates	37.4	60.5	92	4
Rahu	30.2	56.6	107	3
FL401	33.0	54.3	108	3
FL8727	33.4	58.2	100	4
FL304	34.4	55.8	104	3
	33.3	57.0	103	3

19.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1997 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	———— % ————			
Kodi ak	30. 2	55. 3	141	2
Kool grazer	35. 4	60. 6	110	3
Okl on	37. 3	63. 7	98	4
Bates	41. 7	66. 5	83	5
Humbol t	33. 8	56. 7	123	3
MAC blue	33. 8	60. 0	116	3
Barr Grazer	40. 2	65. 2	88	4
B. G. Master	37. 7	64. 4	96	4
Lavaspatonai	34. 7	61. 2	110	3
	36. 1	61. 5	107	3

20.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1997 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	———— % ————			
Kodi ak	27. 8	52. 8	160	2
Kool grazer	35. 2	58. 0	116	3
Okl on	35. 0	57. 2	118	3
Bates	36. 5	59. 3	109	3
Humbol t	34. 3	54. 8	125	2
MAC blue	33. 4	60. 7	116	3
Barr Grazer	38. 0	62. 0	99	4
B. G. Master	36. 5	57. 2	113	3
	34. 6	57. 8	119	3

21.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1997 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Kodi ak	34. 0	62. 2	111	2
Kool grazer	42. 7	68. 5	77	4
Okl on	44. 8	67. 0	76	5
Bates	42. 8	67. 6	79	4
Humbol t	39. 6	64. 3	91	3
MAC blue	41. 4	68. 5	80	4
Barr Grazer	42. 8	67. 5	79	4
B. G. Master	43. 5	68. 7	76	5
	41. 5	66. 8	84	4

22.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1996.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Cayuse	44. 7	29. 1	138	2
Euro	49. 2	29. 7	124	2
Wallaroo	45. 9	25. 7	142	2
Starter	46. 1	26. 5	136	2
Pallinup	58. 4	33. 3	102	3
Cashel	56. 9	33. 7	103	3
Yilgarn	49. 8	27. 9	128	2
Mortlock	49. 1	25. 4	129	2
	50. 0	28. 9	125	2

23.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1996.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Cayuse	45.2	30.5	134	2
Euro	48.8	33.1	120	3
Wállaroo	45.2	30.5	134	2
Starter	42.1	29.8	145	2
Pállinup	57.5	34.6	100	4
Cashel	53.9	34.0	108	3
Yilgarn	48.3	30.2	126	2
Mortlock	48.6	32.5	122	3
	48.7	31.9	124	2

24.

ADF, NDF, RFV

1996.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Cayuse	39.4	20.5	172	2
Euro	44.3	24.9	146	2
Wállaroo	39.4	22.6	168	2
Starter	43.7	24.0	149	2
Pállinup	55.2	32.2	108	3
Cashel	46.8	24.1	140	2
Yilgarn	-	-	-	-
Mortlock	48.5	29.4	127	2
	45.3	25.4	144	2

25.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Cayuse	31.1	51.8	116	3
Euro	29.8	48.3	127	2
Cashel	32.8	56.1	105	3
Pallinup	32.8	59.0	100	4
Yilgarn	34.3	59.9	97	4
Starter Amag.	26.9	50.3	126	2
Bob	23.1	49.4	134	2
Swan	33.5	59.5	98	4
Winjardie	30.4	51.9	117	3
Irwin	31.5	59.5	101	3
FL501	25.4	46.9	137	2
FL502	25.8	50.0	128	2
FL874-E55	25.7	45.2	142	2
Dane	28.5	53.0	117	3
	29.4	52.9	117	3

26.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Cayuse	24.8	41.9	154	2
Euro	26.6	45.0	141	2
Cashel	30.5	47.8	127	2
Pallinup	32.4	53.1	112	3
Yilgarn	29.3	49.6	124	2
Starter Amag.	30.3	44.7	136	2
Bob	22.9	45.6	145	2
Swan	29.8	50.9	120	3
Winjardie	28.5	48.1	129	2
Irwin	29.4	48.4	127	2
FL501	24.6	40.9	159	2
FL502	23.1	43.3	152	2
FL874-E55	26.4	39.0	163	2
Dane	28.5	49.1	126	2
	27.7	46.2	137	2

27.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1997.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Cayuse	27.1	45.8	138	2
Euro	27.9	48.9	128	2
Cashel	29.3	48.8	126	2
Pallinup	33.2	55.1	106	3
Yilgarn	32.5	54.1	109	3
Starter Amag.	26.9	56.0	113	3
Bob	22.5	42.2	157	2
Swan	28.6	51.1	121	3
Winjardie	23.2	39.3	168	2
Irwin	31.0	54.5	111	3
FL501	25.2	44.1	146	2
FL502	25.6	43.7	147	2
FL874-E55	24.4	42.3	154	2
Dane	28.8	48.9	126	2
	27.6	48.2	132	2

28.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	%			
Cayuse	30.7	50.3	120	3
Winjardie	32.8	55.0	107	3
Irwin	34.5	58.9	98	4
Dane	35.3	57.1	100	4
Swan	34.6	58.0	99	4
	33.6	55.8	105	3

29.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Cayuse	34.4	53.5	108	3
Winjardie	34.9	51.6	111	3
Irwin	36.3	55.4	102	3
Dane	37.0	56.4	99	4
Swan	37.3	57.2	97	4
	36.0	54.8	103	3

30.

ADF, NDF, RFV

, 1998.

	ADF	NDF	RFV	
	—————	%	—————	
Cayuse	31.9	52.3	114	3
Winjardie	32.6	51.1	116	3
Irwin	33.1	52.4	112	3
Dane	34.6	51.3	112	3
Swan	33.1	50.6	116	3
	33.1	51.6	114	3

**1.**

.

**2.**

.

**3.** 가

.